

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 06/02/2006

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/624,267	07/22/2003	John R. Stefanik	BS00062CON	7494	
7	590 06/02/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
Scott P. Zimmerman PLLC P. O. Box 3822			EDWARDS J	EDWARDS JR, TIMOTHY	
Cary, NC 27:			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2612		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	T		W			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	U			
Office Action Summan	10/624,267	STEFANIK, JOHN R.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Timothy Edwards, Jr.	2612				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period versions of the reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fror , cause the application to become ABANDON	N. imely filed in the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 Ju	<u>ıly 2003</u> .					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 9-28 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>9-28</u> is/are rejected.	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>9-28</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 22 July 2003 is/are: a)[□ accepted or b) □ objected to	by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct		•				
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	e Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreigna) All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	ı)-(d) or (f).				
 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	• •	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	·	ed in this National Stage				
application from the International Bureau	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	or the certified copies not receive	ea.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal I	Pate Patent Application (PTO-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:						

Art Unit: 2612

DETAILED ACTION

Double Patenting

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and In *re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

2. Claims 9-28 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory double patenting over claims 1-15 of U. S. Patent No. 6,750,801 since the claims, if allowed, would improperly extend the "right to exclude" already granted in the patent.

The subject matter claimed in the instant application is fully disclosed in the patent and is covered by the patent since the patent and the application are claiming common subject matter, as follows: USP 6,750,801 ('801) claims in claim 1, a processor, a motion detector in communication with the processor, a directional mode indicator in communication with the processor, the directional mode indicator indicates one of multiple modes of operation of the remote control device based on a signal

Application/Control Number: 10/624,267 Page 3

Art Unit: 2612

generated by the motion detector, each mode of operation corresponds to a different consumer electronic device and is determined by the orientation of the remote control device. The present application claims in claim 1, all the above limitations and rewords the underlined limitation of patent '801 to read, "the motion detector communicates a signal to the processor indicating a direction in which the remote control device is moved". Claim 1 of patent '801 implicitly implies this limitation. Therefore, this limitation has no patentable distinct language or renders claim 1 of this application patentable.

With respect to claim 13, "the processor includes a microprocessor". One of ordinary skill in the art readily recognizes a processor generally refers to a central processing unit (CPU), which performs many function. Therefore, the processor of patent'801 implicitly implies this limitation.

With respect to claim 21, "the housing is constructed from the group consisting of metal and plastic", is not a patentable distinct limitation and obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art.

With respect to claim 26, "an input device in communication with the processor", was not claimed in the parent patent. However, this limitation is obvious because most remote control devices comprise an input means (i.e. buttons, keys or switches).

One to one correspondence of claims recited in present application to claims of application, which matured into a patent.

Patent No. 6,750,801 claim

Present Application claim

9, 20 and 23

6 10

Application/Control Number: 10/624,267 Page 4

Art Unit: 2612

7	11
13	14
11	15 and 28
12	16 and 24
5	17
8	19, 22 and 27
4	18 and 25
Patent No. 6,946,970 claim	Present Application claim
7	9 and 12
13	14
11 .	15
12	16

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Examiner Timothy Edwards, Jr. at telephone number (571) 272-3067. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday, 8:00 a.m.-6:00 p.m. The examiner cannot be reached on Fridays.

If attempt to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wendy Garber, can be reached at (571) 272-7308.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-4700, Mon-Fri., 8:30 a.m.-5:00 p.m.

Any response to this action should be fax to:

(571) 273-8300 (for formal communications intended for entry).

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov or contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Timothy Edwards, Jr.

Primary Examiner

May 27, 2006